Electric dipole moments of W boson and neutron from supersymmetry

Noriyuki Oshimo[a]

[a]Department of Physics, Ochanomizu University,
Otsuka 2-1-1, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112, Japan

arXiv:hep-ph/9701382v2 28 Jan 1997

The supersymmetric standard model (SSM) has a CP-violating phase in its gauge-Higgs sector. This new source of CP violation induces the electric dipole moments (EDMs) of the neutron and electron at the one-loop level. The same CP-violating phase generates the EDM of the W boson through one-loop diagrams mediated by the charginos and neutralinos, which leads to the EDMs of the neutron and electron at the two-loop level. We discuss these EDMs, assuming that the CP-violating phase has the magnitude of order 0.1–1 which may be suggested from the baryon asymmetry of the universe. Even at the two-loop level the neutron and the electron could have EDMs which are smaller than their experimental upper bounds by only one order of magnitude. Since these two-loop contributions do not depend on the values of SSM parameters for the squark or slepton sector, they provide predictions for the EDMs less ambiguous than the one-loop contributions.

1. Introduction

Nature is not invariant under CP transformation as observed in the K^0-$\bar{K}^0$ system [1]. In the standard model (SM) CP violation originates from the Kobayashi-Maskawa (KM) phase, which is consistent with all the experimental results ever found concerning CP violation. On the other hand, it has been suggested that the baryon asymmetry of the universe could also be an outcome of CP violation at the electroweak scale [2]. However, this asymmetry has been shown not to be explained quantitatively within the framework of the SM. If the baryon asymmetry was really generated at the electroweak phase transition of the universe, some extension has to be introduced for the SM.

The supersymmetric standard model (SSM) is one of the most plausible extensions of the SM, which has new sources of CP violation [3] in addition to the KM phase. In this model the CP-violating phenomena in the K^0-$\bar{K}^0$ system are described by the KM phase, while the baryon asymmetry of the universe could come from a new CP-violating phase which is contained in the gauge-Higgs sector. Indeed, it has been shown [4] that the CP-violating interactions for the charginos generate the asymmetry and the resultant ratio of baryon number to entropy becomes consistent with its observed value, if the CP-violating phase is of order $0.1 - 1$. In this case the new source of CP violation can sizably affect the other phenomena. It would be important to study the effects of the CP-violating phase on experimentally measurable quantities.

In this report we discuss the electric dipole moments (EDMs) of the neutron and electron together with that of the W boson within the framework of the SSM. Owing to the new CP-violating phase in the gauge-Higgs sector, the neutron and electron EDMs receive contributions from diagrams mediated by the charginos and squarks or sleptons at the one-loop level [3,5,6]. In addition, the same CP-violating phase yields the EDM of the W boson through one-loop diagrams mediated by the charginos and neutralinos. The W-boson EDM can then induce the neutron and electron EDMs through one-loop diagrams generated by the SM interactions [7,8]. Taking into account these two-types of contributions, we make detailed analyses of the EDMs.

The EDMs of the neutron and electron from the W-boson EDM are generated at the two-loop level. For the CP-violating phase of order unity these EDMs have values smaller than their present experimental upper bounds by one order of magnitude [8]. The two-loop contributions are a priori expected to be smaller than the contribu-

tions from the one-loop diagrams. However, the two-loop contributions from the W-boson EDM are determined only by the gauge-Higgs sector, while the one-loop contributions are determined not only by the gauge-Higgs sector but also by the squark or slepton sector, especially by their masses. Consequently, as the squark or slepton masses increase, the one-loop contributions become small, while the two-loop contributions are kept the same. The values of the neutron and electron EDMs induced by the W-boson EDM are predicted with less uncertainty in the SSM.

The present experimental bounds for the EDMs of the neutron and electron are given by $|d_n| \lesssim 10^{-25} e$cm [9] and $|d_e| \lesssim 10^{-26} e$cm [10], respectively. In the SM, the EDM of the neutron vanishes at both the one-loop and the two-loop levels, resulting in $|d_n| < 10^{-30} e$cm, and the EDM of the electron is much smaller [1]. Therefore, the detection of these EDMs in the foreseeable future may be considered to provide an indirect evidence for the existence of supersymmetry in nature.

2. CP-violating interactions

The SSM is an extension of the SM based on $N = 1$ supergravity coupled to grand unified theories (GUTs) [11]. This model contains several parameters whose values are generally complex. Although there is some freedom for the phases of particle fields, the redefinitions of the fields cannot rotate away all the complex phases. Even if generation mixings among matter fields are neglected, at least two of the complex parameters cannot be made real. One physical complex phase appears in the gauge-Higgs sector and another in the squark and slepton sector. These are the new sources of CP violation intrinsic in the SSM. In this section we briefly review the CP-violating interactions relevant to our discussions.

The charginos ω_i and the neutralinos χ_j are charged and neutral mass eigenstates for the gauginos and Higgsinos, the superpartners of the gauge and Higgs bosons. Their mass matrices are given by

$$M^- = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{m}_2 & -gv_1^*/\sqrt{2} \\ -gv_2^*/\sqrt{2} & m_H \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$

for the charginos, and

$$M^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{m}_1 & 0 & g'v_1^*/2 & -g'v_2^*/2 \\ 0 & \tilde{m}_2 & -gv_1^*/2 & gv_2^*/2 \\ g'v_1^*/2 & -gv_1^*/2 & 0 & -m_H \\ -g'v_2^*/2 & gv_2^*/2 & -m_H & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2}$$

for the neutralinos. Here v_1 and v_2 denote the vacuum expectation values of the Higgs bosons with U(1) hypercharges $-1/2$ and $1/2$, respectively; $\tilde{m}_2$ and $\tilde{m}_1$ the SU(2) and U(1) gaugino masses, respectively, which appear in supersymmetry soft-breaking terms of the Lagrangian; and m_H the mass parameter in a bilinear term of Higgs superfields in superpotential. The mass matrices (1) and (2) are diagonalized by unitary matrices C_R, C_L, and N as

$$C_R^\dagger M^- C_L = \mathrm{diag}(\tilde{m}_{\omega 1}, \tilde{m}_{\omega 2}) \quad (\tilde{m}_{\omega 1} < \tilde{m}_{\omega 2}) \tag{3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N^t M^0 N &= \mathrm{diag}(\tilde{m}_{\chi 1}, \tilde{m}_{\chi 2}, \tilde{m}_{\chi 3}, \tilde{m}_{\chi 4}) \\ &\quad (\tilde{m}_{\chi 1} < \tilde{m}_{\chi 2} < \tilde{m}_{\chi 3} < \tilde{m}_{\chi 4}), \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

giving the mass eigenstates.

The squarks and sleptons are superpartners of the quarks and leptons. For each flavor there are two mass eigenstates $\tilde{f}_i$ which are composed of $\tilde{f}_L$ and $\tilde{f}_R$, the scalar partners for the left-handed and right-handed components of the fermion f. Their mass-squared matrix becomes

$$M_f^2 = \begin{pmatrix} (M_f^2)_{11} & (M_f^2)_{12} \\ (M_f^2)_{21} & (M_f^2)_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(M_f^2)_{11} = m_f^2 + \cos 2\beta (T_{3f} - Q_f \sin^2\theta_W) M_Z^2 \\ &+ \tilde{M}_{fL}^2, \\ &(M_f^2)_{12} = m_f (R_f m_H + A_f^* m_{3/2}), \\ &(M_f^2)_{21} = m_f (R_f^* m_H^* + A_f m_{3/2}), \\ &(M_f^2)_{22} = m_f^2 + Q_f \cos 2\beta \sin^2\theta_W M_Z^2 + \tilde{M}_{fR}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where R_f and $\tan\beta$ are defined by

$$R_f = \begin{cases} v_1/v_2^* & \text{for } T_{3f} = 1/2 \\ v_2/v_1^* & \text{for } T_{3f} = -1/2 \end{cases},$$

$$\tan\beta = |v_2/v_1|. \tag{6}$$

Figure 1. The Feynman diagrams for the EDM of a quark or a lepton.

$$G_{Lji} = \sqrt{2} N_{2j}^* C_{L1i} + N_{3j}^* C_{L2i},$$
$$G_{Rji} = \sqrt{2} N_{2j} C_{R1i} - N_{4j} C_{R2i}.$$

Since the coupling constants G_{Lji} and G_{Rji} have different complex phases, this Lagrangian is not invariant under CP transformation.

The interaction Lagrangian for the charginos, u-type quark, and d-type squarks and that for the charginos, d-type quark, and u-type squarks are respectively given by

$$\mathcal{L} = ig\bar{\omega_i^c}\left(A_{Li}^k \frac{1-\gamma_5}{2} + A_{Ri}^k \frac{1+\gamma_5}{2}\right) u\tilde{d}_k^\dagger + \text{H.c.}, \quad (10)$$
$$A_{Li}^k = C_{L1i} S_{d1k}^* - \frac{\eta_d}{g} C_{L2i} S_{d2k}^*,$$
$$A_{Ri}^k = -\frac{\eta_u^*}{g} C_{R2i} S_{d1k}^*,$$

and

$$\mathcal{L} = ig\bar{\omega}_i\left(B_{Li}^k \frac{1-\gamma_5}{2} + B_{Ri}^k \frac{1+\gamma_5}{2}\right) d\tilde{u}_k^\dagger + \text{H.c}, \quad (11)$$

$$B^k_{Li} = C^*_{R1i} S^*_{u1k} + \frac{\eta_u}{g} C^*_{R2i} S^*_{u2k},$$
$$B^k_{Ri} = \frac{\eta^*_d}{g} C^*_{L2i} S^*_{u1k}.$$

Here η_f $(f = u, d)$ represents the Yukawa coupling constant for the f-type quark. The matrices S_u and S_d are approximately the unit matrix for the u- and d-squarks. The interaction Lagrangians for the charginos, leptons, and sleptons are obtained by appropriately changing eqs. (10) and (11).

3. EDM of neutron at one-loop level

In the SSM the EDM of the quark receives contributions from one-loop diagrams in which the charginos, neutralinos, or gluinos are exchanged together with the squarks. Assuming the nonrelativistic quark model, the EDM of the neutron d_n is given, in terms of the u-quark EDM d_u and the d-quark EDM d_d, by $d_n = (4d_d - d_u)/3$. The electron EDM is also induced by one-loop diagrams, where the charginos or neutralinos are exchanged with the sleptons. Among these diagrams, the chargino-mediated ones generally make dominant contributions for both the neutron and the electron EDMs [5]. The relevant Feynman diagrams are depicted in Fig. 1.

Figure 2. The EDM of the neutron at the one-loop level for $\tan\beta = 2$ and $\tilde{m}_2 = 200$ GeV. The values of θ and the squark mass for curves (i)–(iv) are listed in Table 1.

Figure 3. The EDM of the neutron at the one-loop level for $\theta = 0.1$. The squark mass is taken for 1 TeV and the values of $\tilde{m}_2$ and $|m_H|$ for curves (i)–(iv) are listed in Table 2.

Table 1
The values of θ and the squark mass for curves (i)–(iv) in Fig. 2.

	(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)
θ	$\pi/4$	$\pi/4$	0.1	0.1
Squark mass (TeV)	1	5	1	5

Table 2
The values of $\tilde{m}_2$ and $|m_H|$ for curves (i)–(iv) in Figs. 3 and 6.

	(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)
$\tilde{m}_2$ (GeV)	200	200	1000	1000
$\lvert m_H\rvert$ (GeV)	200	1000	200	1000

Figure 4. The Feynman diagram for the EDM of a quark or a lepton which involves a CP-violating coupling for the W-bosons and photon.

where $F(q^2)$ represents a form factor, q^2 being the momentum-squared of the photon. The EDM of the W boson is given by

$$d_W = -\frac{e}{2M_W}F(0). \tag{13}$$

For the W bosons and a vector boson, in general, there can be two more couplings which break CP invariance [14]. However, the interactions in eq. (9) only contribute to the coupling of eq. (12).

The SSM parameters which determine $F(q^2)$ are those contained in eqs. (1) and (2). For $\tan\beta \sim 1$, $\theta \sim 1$, and $\tilde{m}_2, |m_H| \sim 100$ GeV the magnitude of $F(q^2)$ is around 10^{-4} [8], which is far larger than the prediction of the SM. The W-boson EDM could in principle be measured in e^+e^- colliding experiments [15], although such a magnitude for $F(q^2)$ would still be too small for detection at LEP II.

The EDM of the W-boson yields the EDMs of the quarks and leptons through one-loop diagrams. In the SSM, therefore, the EDMs of the quarks and leptons can be induced at the two-loop level. The relevant diagram is shown in Fig. 4, where f and f' denote the quarks or leptons whose left-handed components form an SU(2) doublet. At the two-loop level there

also exist other diagrams which involve squarks or sleptons and make contributions to the quark or lepton EDM. However, as long as the squarks and sleptons are much heavier than the charginos and neutralinos, these diagrams can be safely neglected. This may be indeed the case for $\theta \gtrsim 0.1$, since the squarks and sleptons should be heavier than or around 1 TeV from the analyses of the one-loop contributions to the EDMs as discussed in the previous section.

Figure 5. The EDM of the neutron induced by the W-boson EDM for $\tan\beta = 2$. The values of θ and $\tilde{m}_2$ for curves (i)–(iv) are listed in Table 3.

Table 3
The values of θ and $\tilde{m}_2$ for curves (i)–(iv) in Fig. 5.

	(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)
θ	$\pi/4$	$\pi/4$	0.1	0.1
$\tilde{m}_2$ (GeV)	200	300	200	300

In Fig. 5 we show the absolute value of the neutron EDM induced by the W-boson EDM for $\tan\beta = 2$ as a function of $|m_H|$. For θ and $\tilde{m}_2$ we have taken four sets of values given in Table 3. For $\theta \sim 1$, $\tan\beta \sim 1$, and $\tilde{m}_2, |m_H| \sim 100$ GeV the magnitude of the neutron EDM is around $10^{-26} e$cm, which is smaller than the present experimental upper bounds by only one order of magnitude. Since the squark masses are at least 1 TeV for $\theta \gtrsim 0.1$, the magnitude of the neutron EDM arising from two-loop diagrams with the squarks becomes much smaller than $10^{-26} e$cm.

Figure 6. The EDM of the neutron induced by the W-boson EDM for $\theta = \pi/4$. The values of $\tilde{m}_2$ and $|m_H|$ for curves (i)–(iv) are listed in Table 2.

In Fig. 6 the neutron EDM is plotted as a function of $\tan\beta$ for four sets of values of $\tilde{m}_2$ and $|m_H|$ given in Table 2. The CP-violating phase is taken for $\theta = \pi/4$. The EDM of the neutron decreases as $\tilde{m}_2$ or $|m_H|$ increases. We can also see its clear dependence on $\tan\beta$. As $\tan\beta$ increases, the EDM decreases. This is contrary to the $\tan\beta$ dependence of the neutron and electron EDMs induced by the one-loop diagrams as shown in Fig. 3.

The EDM of the electron induced by the W-boson EDM have a value smaller than the neutron EDM by one order of magnitude, similarly depending on the SSM parameters.

The quantitative difference between the one-loop contributions and the two-loop contributions to the EDM of the neutron or electron varies with

Figure 7. The neutron EDM from the one-loop contributions and that from the two-loop contributions, which are represented respectively by curves (i) and (ii). The parameter values are $\tilde{m}_2 = |m_H| = 200$ GeV and $\tan\beta = 2$. For curve (i) the squark mass is set for 10 TeV.

the squark or slepton masses. For the masses of around 1 TeV, the one-loop contributions are larger than the two-loop contributions, whereas for the masses of around 10 TeV or larger, the latter can become larger than the former.

In Fig. 7 we show the values of the neutron EDM at the one-loop and the two-loop levels as functions of the CP-violating phase θ for $\tilde{m}_2 = |m_H| = 200$ GeV and $\tan\beta = 2$. Curves (i) and (ii) respectively represent the EDM from the one-loop contributions and that from the two-loop contributions. The squark mass for the one-loop contributions has been taken for 10 TeV. The two types of contributions are comparable to each other. It is seen that the one-loop and the two-loop contributions have the same sign, which also holds for other reasonable values of the parameters and for the EDM of the electron. Hence, for given values of the parameters for the gauge-Higgs sector, the magnitudes of the neutron and electron EDMs are expected to be larger than those obtained from the two-loop diagrams irrespectively of the squark and slepton masses.

5. Conclusion

We have discussed CP violation which originates from the gauge-Higgs sector in the SSM. If the baryon asymmetry of the universe is attributed to this source of CP violation, the CP-violating phase θ should be of order 0.1–1. Then, the EDMs of the neutron and electron, which are induced through the one-loop diagrams with the charginos and the squarks or sleptons, could be as large as their present experimental upper bounds. Accordingly, the parameters of the SSM are constrained. For $\theta \sim 0.1$ the squarks and sleptons have to be heavier than or around 1 TeV, while the charginos and neutralinos could be of order of 100 GeV.

The EDM of the W boson is induced at the one-loop level through the diagrams in which the charginos and neutralinos are exchanged. If θ is of order unity, the CP-odd form factor $F(q^2)$ in the effective Lagrangian for the $WW\gamma$ interactions could be of order of 10^{-4}. Since this magnitude is far larger than the SM prediction, the W-boson EDM would be an interesting observable to search for supersymmetry.

The W-boson EDM could be examined through the EDMs of the neutron and electron. These EDMs receive contributions from the two-loop diagrams which contain the one-loop diagrams for the W-boson EDM. As a result, the large magnitude of the W-boson EDM implicates large magnitudes for the neutron and electron EDMs. We have shown that the magnitudes of the neutron and electron EDMs at the two-loop level could be as large as $10^{-26}e$cm and $10^{-27}e$cm, respectively. These numerical outcomes are not so small compared to the experimental upper bounds at present.

The one-loop and two-loop contributions to the EDMs depend differently on the SSM parameters. For the squark and slepton masses of order of 1 TeV, the one-loop contributions are larger than the two-loop contributions. However, if those masses are around 10 TeV, the one-loop and the two-loop contributions could become comparable. Furthermore, these two types of contributions turned out to have the same sign. Therefore, the neutron and electron EDMs arising from the

two-loop diagrams give theoretical lower bounds for given parameter values of the gauge-Higgs sector.

If the neutron and electron EDMs respectively have values of order of $10^{-26}e$cm and $10^{-27}e$cm, they will possibly be detected in the near future. Since the KM mechanism in the SM does not predict such large magnitudes for the EDMs, the detection of the EDMs could make the SSM a more credible candidate for the extension of the SM.

The author thanks M. Aoki, T. Kadoyoshi, and A. Sugamoto for discussions.

REFERENCES

1. For reviews, see e.g. J.F. Donoghue, B.R. Holstein, and G. Valencia, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A2 (1987) 319;
   W. Grimus, Fortschr. Phys. 36 (1988) 201;
   X.-G. He, B.H.J. McKellar, and S. Pakvasa, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A4 (1989) 5011;
   W. Bernreuther and M. Suzuki, Rev. Mod. Phys. 63 (1991) 313.
2. For reviews, see e.g. A.G. Cohen, D.B. Kaplan, and A.E. Nelson, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 43 (1993) 27;
   K. Funakubo, Prog. Theor. Phys. 96 (1996) 475.
3. J. Ellis, S. Ferrara, and D.V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. B114 (1982) 231;
   W. Buchmüller and D. Wyler, Phys. Lett. B121 (1983) 321;
   J. Polchinski and M.B. Wise, Phys. Lett. B125 (1983) 393;
   F. del Águila, M.B. Gavela, J.A. Grifols, and A. Méndez, Phys. Lett. B126 (1983) 71.
4. M. Aoki, N. Oshimo, and A. Sugamoto, OCHA-PP-87 (1996).
5. Y. Kizukuri and N. Oshimo, Phys. Rev. D45 (1992) 1806; Phys. Rev. D46 (1992) 3025.
6. T. Inui, Y. Mimura, N. Sakai, and T. Sasaki, Nucl. Phys. B449 (1995) 49;
   T. Kobayashi, M. Konmura, D. Suematsu, K. Yamada, and Y. Yamagishi, Prog. Theor. Phys. 94 (1995) 417;
   S.A. Abel, W.N. Cottingham, and I.B. Whittingham, Phys. Lett. B370 (1996) 106; and references therein.
7. W.J. Marciano and A. Queijeiro, Phys. Rev. D33 (1986) 3449;
   D. Atwood, C.P. Burgess, C. Hamzaoui, B. Irwin, and J. A. Robinson, Phys. Rev. D42 (1990) 3770;
   F. Boudjema, K. Hagiwara, C. Hamzaoui, and K. Numata, Phys. Rev. D43 (1991) 2223;
   D. Chang, W.-Y. Keung, and J. Liu, Nucl. Phys. B355 (1991) 295;
   A. De Rújula, M.B. Gavela, O. Pène, and F.J. Vegas, Nucl. Phys. B357 (1991) 311;
   I. Vendramin, Nuovo Cimento A105 (1992) 1649;
   T.H. West, Phys. Rev. D50 (1994) 7025.
8. T. Kadoyoshi and N. Oshimo, OCHA-PP-78 (to be published in Phys. Rev. D).
9. K.F. Smith et al., Phys. Lett. B234 (1990) 191;
   I.S. Altarev et al., Phys. Lett. B276 (1992) 242.
10. S.A. Murthy et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 63 (1989) 965;
    D. Cho et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 63 (1989) 2559;
    K. Abdullah et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 (1990) 2347.
11. For reviews, see e.g. H.P. Nilles, Phys. Rep. 110 (1984) 1;
    P. Nath, R. Arnowitt, and A.H. Chamseddine, *Applied N=1 Supergravity* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1984);
    H.E. Haber and G.L. Kane, Phys. Rep. 117 (1985) 75.
12. Particle Data Group, Phys. Rev. D54 (1996) 1.
13. N. Oshimo, Nucl. Phys. B404 (1993) 20.
14. K. Hagiwara, R.D. Peccei, D. Zeppenfeld, and K. Hikasa, Nucl. Phys. B282 (1987) 253.
15. G. Gounaris, D. Schildknecht, and F.M. Renard, Phys. Lett. B263 (1991) 291.